Sleep during travel balances individual sleep needs

Sigga Svala Jonasdottir¹, James Bagrow² and Sune Lehmann^{1,3}

Travel is expected to have a deleterious effect on sleep, but an epidemiological-scale understanding of sleep changes associated with travel has been limited by a lack of large-scale data. Our global dataset of ~20,000 individuals and 3.17 million nights (~218,000 travel nights), while focused mainly on short, non-time-zone-crossing trips, reveals that travel has a balancing effect on sleep. Underslept individuals typically sleep more during travel than when at home, while individuals who average more than 7.5 hours of sleep at home typically sleep less when travelling. The difference in travel sleep quantity depends linearly on home sleep quantity and decreases as median sleep duration increases. On average, travel wake time advances to later hours on weekdays but earlier hours on weekends. Our study emphasizes the potential for consumer-grade wearable device data to explore how environment and behaviour affect sleep.

ttaining sufficient sleep is critical to many aspects of human health¹⁻³. Short and irregular sleep duration contributes to molecular, immune and neurological changes that play a role in disease development (for example, increasing the risk of obesity and cardiovascular diseases) and substantially affect mood, motor and cognitive performance^{1,4-10}. Despite the importance of sleep to health, average sleep duration has continued to decrease among economically developed countries: for example, 30% of the US population slept on average less than six hours per night in 2013, compared with 3% in 1963¹¹⁻¹³.

Concurrently, travel has increased dramatically over the past two decades, with the number of air travellers nearly tripling¹⁴. There are good reasons to think that travelling negatively impacts sleep. Travel and new resting environments are known to influence sleep quantity and quality. The first-night effect was first documented in 1964, where sleep-initiation difficulty and prolonged sleep-onset latency were found to occur on the first night of sleep taking place in sleep laboratory^{15,16}. Later, in 2016, Tamaki et al. showed that the first-night effect is a consequence of a single brain hemisphere displaying elevated alertness in new and unfamiliar environments. The hemisphere with reduced sleep depth showed more enhanced response to external stimuli during the resting period¹⁷.

Travel fatigue and jet lag are conditions that can cause sleep complications when travelling¹⁸⁻²⁴. Travel fatigue is associated with any long journey, regardless of the mode of transport, and is characterized by tiredness, disorientation and headaches, which usually last only for a day or so. But when flying across several time zones, there is the added effect of jet lag, with longer-lasting ramifications¹⁸⁻²¹. Jet lag is due to desynchronization of the body's internal clock and the new time zone one enters after long-distance longitudinal travel²²⁻²⁴.

Jet lag is not limited to travel. Social jet lag is a measure used in sleep epidemiology to quantify the difference between weekend and weekday sleep timing, and if measured high, it is assumed to be occurring due to the constraints of early-morning work schedules on weekdays, which are often relieved on weekends^{25,26}. Social demand can thus reduce sleep opportunity (the time available for sleep), and strict work cultures are known to impact sleep schedules as well²⁷⁻²⁹. Sleep duration also depends on individuals' sleep ability, which can be limited due to insomnia or other sleep disorders³⁰.

Most of the existing research to understand the effect of travel on sleep has focused on physiological and behavioural changes among professional athletes or subjective fatigue and alertness among aircrew staff, and has been carried out as small-scale studies (typically 10-30 study subjects)^{18,31-38}. These studies have found no significant difference in sleep quantity and quality before and after short-haul air travel (without crossing of time zones)^{32,39-42}. However, if journeys cross time zones, the outcome is different. Jet lag has been found to cause sleep issues in new time zones, including reduced sleep duration, more frequent and longer nighttime awakenings, delayed sleep onset after eastward travel, and advanced sleep offset after westward travel^{22,39,43}. While multiple effects have been discussed, the quantitative changes in sleep due to travel have not been researched in an epidemiological context. Here we address this gap in the literature through a large and global dataset of sleep activity data recorded with wearable devices. The dataset consists of ~20,000 individuals residing in 121 countries with more than 3.17 million nights (~218,000 nights away from home), where ~81% of the trips are <1,000 km and 85% have no time zone crosses. The fact that only around 15% of the trips in our data set cross time zones and even fewer cross three or more time zones (6%) implies that our results are potentially less robust for longer trips that cross many time zones. For such trips, additional mechanisms may be at play.

Our work analyses the effects of travel and new resting environments on sleep behaviour. We find that sleep during travel tends to depend on sleep patterns at home; specifically, it serves a balancing function: people with shorter-than-average home-sleep duration tend to have longer nighttime sleep during travel, while those who have longer-than-average home-sleep duration tend to sleep less during travel.

Results

Measuring change in sleep duration due to travel. In Fig. 1a, we present synthetic data to illustrate the complex patterns of sleep observed in our population. The data are highly realistic in that they retain all of the key statistical properties of the real sleep data. We use synthetic data to avoid revealing non-aggregated individual-level data, which may present a privacy risk. We use the median sleep duration, M_{home} , to quantify the typical sleep duration at home. To evaluate behaviour when travelling, we estimate the average sleep duration for travel nights (denoted μ_{travel}). We define $\Delta_s = \mu_s - M_{\text{home}}$ as the change in sleep duration relative to typical behaviour, where the state $s \in \{\text{home, travel}\}$. The variable Δ_s is estimated for each

¹Tecnical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. ²University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA. ³Copenhagen Center for Social Data Science, Copenhagen, Denmark. ^{See}-mail: sljo@dtu.dk



Fig. 1 [Sleep activity patterns and the relative change in sleep duration for travel nights. a, For each individual (N=19,812), we measure sleep onset, offset and duration for each recorded night. From these records, we derive three measurements: $M_{\text{home}} \mu_{\text{home}}$ and μ_{travel} . To measure the change in sleep duration due to travel, relative to typical behaviour at home, we derive a new measure, $\Delta_{\text{travel}} = \mu_{\text{travel}} - M_{\text{home}}$. The sleep data shown in **a** are synthetic, but with all key statistical properties preserved. We use synthetic data to illustrate the complexity of human sleep while ensuring that no non-aggregated individual-level data are displayed. **b**, The distributions of Δ_{travel} (orange) and Δ_{home} (blue) for groups with different median sleep durations. Individuals are grouped together by rounding their median to the nearest half-hour bin (referred to as *sleep groups*). **c**, The average Δ_{travel} for all sleep groups (median duration ranging from 4.5 to 9.5 hours) with error bars representing the s.e.m. **d**, The distributions of Δ_{home} . Δ_{home} and Δ_{home} , Δ_{home} and Δ_{travel} for all individuals. **e**, A larger visual representation (a narrower range of the x axis) for the distributions of Δ_{home} on weekends and the dashed light blue lines represent Δ_{home} .

individual in our sample. We explain the rationale for comparing mean with median for home and travel nights below.

Sleep during travel depends linearly on sleep at home. We first explore whether the change in sleep duration away from home depends on the typical sleep duration at home by plotting the distribution of $\Delta_s = \mu_s - M_{\text{home}}$ where $s \in \{\text{home, travel}\}$ for individuals with different median sleep durations. The results are shown in Fig. 1b, where individuals are grouped into sleep groups by rounding their median sleep duration to the nearest half-hour bin. The distributions are broad, but we see a clear trend that the average Δ_{travel} moves from positive to negative values as the median sleep duration increases. This implies that individuals who sleep little at home (duration ≤ 5.0 hours) tend sleep longer when they are away

from home. On the opposite end of the spectrum, those who sleep longer at home (duration ≥ 9 hours) sleep less when they are away from home. To quantify this trend, we calculate the average Δ_{travel} for each sleep group (ranging from 4.5 to 9.5 hours), which reveals an approximately linear dependence of Δ_{travel} on typical sleep duration at home; the error bars in Fig. 1c show the s.e.m.

Baseline effect for home nights. In Fig. 1b,c, we also plot $\Delta_{\text{home}} = \mu_{\text{home}} - M_{\text{home}}$ (blue). This is to illustrate a baseline effect, which relates to the observed systematic change in sleep duration away from home. This baseline effect is a decreasing linear trend of Δ_{home} (blue line in Fig. 1c), which shows that there is a systematic difference between mean and median as a function of median sleep duration for nights at home.

Our hypothesis is that the slope of Δ_{home} arises because of sleepwake homeostasis, a physiological process that regulates sleep pressure. For example, a person who tends to sleep less than physiologically needed will build up sleep pressure from the last adequate sleep episode, which can be eliminated by a long nighttime sleep (a 'catch-up' night)^{44,45}. These catch-up nights can result in a skewed distribution of sleep duration, with a disproportionately larger right tail—a positive skew (exemplified in Supplementary Fig. 12). Similarly, we expect a negative skew (a heavy left tail of the distribution) for individuals who tend to have longer nighttime sleep than they can sustain.

This behaviour is confirmed in Supplementary Fig. 12, which shows that 95% of individuals sleeping 4.5 hours at home have a longer average than median sleep duration, and on the opposite end of the spectrum, 93% of those sleeping 9.5 hours have a shorter average than median sleep duration. This could be why Δ_{home} is positive for a median sleep duration of less than seven hours in Fig. 1c and negative for a median sleep duration longer than seven hours. The weak linear trend of Δ_{home} and median sleep duration on Fig. 1c (which we believe is due to the process of sleep–wake homeostasis) explains our comparison of Δ_{travel} with Δ_{home} to obtain the absolute effect of travel on sleep.

Robustness despite imbalanced travel and home sample sizes. To directly compare Δ_{travel} and Δ_{home} , we plot both distributions together in Fig. 1d. Visually, the two distributions are very different, with a much broader distribution for travel nights. To rule out the possibility that our results are due to this imbalance in sample sizes (for example, that the broad range of Δ_{travel} is due to the lower sampling rate for travel nights), we perform an individual-level down-sampling of nights at home to balance our data sample. The distribution of down-sampled home values, Δ_{homeDS} (light-blue distribution), is shown in Fig. 1d,e. The down-sampled distribution is, in fact, slightly narrower than that of Δ_{home} and remains quite different from the broad range of behaviour observed for the distribution of Δ_{travel} (for a more detailed description, see 'Down-sampling nights at home' in the Supplementary Information).

Figure 1 only shows behaviour on weekdays since we follow the convention of sleep research and analyse weekdays and weekends separately. In the next section, we include data from weekends to understand the effect of travel on weekend nights.

Social jet lag impacts the change in sleep during travel. Social jet lag was conceptualized by Wittman et al. and quantifies the difference between "biological time preferences" and time of work and other social demands. Stated more plainly, social jet lag measures the difference between weekday (workdays) and weekend (work-free days) sleep behaviour ('Calculation of social jetlag' in the Supplementary Information)²⁵. In Fig. 2a, we show how social jet lag is distributed across our sample. Most individuals (80%) have some amount of social jet lag ranging from 9 to 98 minutes. Figure 2b shows that social jet lag depends on sleep duration and that individuals with high values of social jet lag typically sleep little on weekdays (four to five hours) and a lot on weekends (nine to ten hours). This large quantitative difference is usually attributed to the constraints of an early work schedule on weekdays, causing substantial sleep deprivation on weekdays and sleep compensations during weekends^{25,26}. In Fig. 2c, we plot the distribution of Δ_{travel} for weekdays (dark orange) and weekends (light orange) for groups of individuals with different ranges of social jet lag (defined by percentiles). We observe a larger effect of travel on sleep duration for individuals with high values of social jet lag, and individuals in the top tenth percentile (>99 minutes) gain on average 45 minutes of sleep when nights take place away from home on weekdays but lose 32 minutes of sleep on weekends.

Effects of travel on weekend nights. Next we examine how sleep duration changes for travel nights on weekends and compare it with the patterns observed previously for weekday nights (Fig. 1). Figure 2d shows the distributions of Δ_{travel} on weekdays (dark orange) and weekends (light orange) organized by sleep groups, where the grey horizontal lines represent the distribution median and quartiles. Figure 2e illustrates the averages of Δ_{travel} by sleep groups with the s.e.m. The relationship between Δ_{travel} and typical sleep duration on weekends is effectively the same as on weekdays: the change in sleep duration during travel decreases as the sleep duration at home increases. However, the relative change is slightly larger in the positive direction (the line is pushed further up on yaxis) on weekdays than on weekends when observing the distribution averages and quartiles in Fig. 2d,e. A possible explanation for these differences is that people are often constrained by time and alarm clocks on weekdays and consequently sleep less than they might need; therefore, there is more opportunity to gain sleep. An opposite effect is expected for weekends, when there is more opportunity to lose sleep^{25,26}.

Sleep onset shows a similar behaviour to duration. So far we have studied sleep duration for travel nights, but sleep duration is derived from two variables: bedtime (sleep onset) and wake-up time (sleep offset). We now investigate whether the effect of travel extends to sleep onset and offset. To explore this question, we use the same methodology as above. We thus calculate $\Delta_{\text{onset,travel}} = \mu_{\text{onset,travel}} - \mu_{\text{onset,travel}}$ $M_{
m onset, home}$ and $\Delta_{
m offset, travel}$. These quantities are then aggregated into averages by user groups, defined by percentiles (10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th) of the distribution of median sleep duration (see Fig. 3a for weekdays and Fig. 3f for weekends). The average $\Delta_{\text{onset,travel}}$ (blue) and $\Delta_{\text{offset,travel}}$ (yellow) are shown with the s.e.m. for weekdays in Fig. 3b and weekends in Fig. 3g. We find that the change in sleep onset depends on the duration of home sleep: those who sleep less than 6.2 hours on weekdays (the bottom 25th percentile) go to bed earlier on weekday travel nights. For those sleeping 7.5 hours or less (the bottom 50th percentile), the travel sleep onset on weekends is advanced to earlier hours. The dependence of $\Delta_{\text{onset,travel}}$ on typical sleep duration is approximately linear, and sleep onset advances from earlier to later hours (relative to typical behaviour at home) as typical home sleep duration increases.

Sleep offset shows the opposite behaviour on travel nights. Wake-up time during travel tends to be later for all individuals on weekdays but earlier on weekends (yellow curves in Fig. 3b,g). The individuals in the bottom tenth percentile on weekdays and the top tenth percentile on weekends change their behaviour the most relative to typical hours at home, waking up 33 ± 2 minutes later on weekdays and 45 ± 2 minutes earlier on weekends when nights take place away from home. The top tenth percentile on weekdays and the bottom tenth percentile on weekends change their behaviour the least (with shifts of 7 ± 1 and 8 ± 2 minutes in wake-up time on weekdays and weekends, respectively). The middle group (10th-90th percentiles in the distribution of median sleep duration) exhibit more homogeneity on weekdays, where wake-up hours on weekdays are 22-29 minutes later, whereas the range is broader on weekends and there is a slight linear dependence with typical sleep duration at home (with wake-up time occurring 18–36 minutes earlier than at home). A possible explanation for the difference in the change in sleep timing (onset and offset) due to travel between weekends and weekdays is the fact that sleep patterns tend to be shifted to earlier hours than is natural to individuals on weekdays due to morning work schedules^{25,26}. This constraint seems to extend to the relative change in sleep timing away from home, since bed and wake-up times are almost always shifted to later hours for most groups on weekdays and earlier hours on weekends.

NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR



Fig. 2 | **Disproportionate effect of travel on individuals with high social jet lag and the connection between weekends and weekdays. a**, Definition of social jet lag and distribution of social jet lag across the sample. The dotted green lines mark the 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentiles of the distribution of social jet lag. b, Box plots of social jet lag for individuals with different sleep durations. The horizontal lines represent the median, the box limits correspond to the upper and lower quartiles, the whiskers define 1.5 times the interquartile range and the points are outliers. Dark green represents social jet lag plotted for groups with different median sleep durations on weekdays, and light green represents social jet lag for groups with different median sleep durations on weekdays, and light green represents social jet lag for groups with different median sleep durations on weekdays. **c**, Distribution of Δ_{travel} on weekdays (dark orange) and weekends (light orange) for groups of individuals with different ranges of social jet lag (defined by the 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentiles). **d**, The distributions of Δ_{travel} for groups with different median sleep durations by day type—weekends (light orange) and weekends (light orange) and weekends (light orange). The average Δ_{travel} plotted with the s.e.m. by sleep groups (half-hour bins for median sleep duration) on weekdays (dark orange) and weekends (light orange). The sample sizes are N=19,812 for weekdays and N=13,515 for weekends.

Mixed-effects models for robustness checks and demographics. Our dataset contains males and females, covers a wide range of ages and originates from individuals across the world, and sleep behaviour has been shown to depend on these demographic indicators^{26,46–52}. In the analysis above, we ignore this heterogeneity and explore sleep behaviour during travel averaged across our entire population. To understand the effects of the underlying heterogeneity on our results, we now explore the relationship between the change in sleep duration on travel nights (Δ_{travel}) and typical sleep duration at home (M_{home}) using mixed-effects models.

Specifically, we analyse the effects of the following demographic covariates: generation (millennial, Gen X or baby boomer), gender (male or female), region of residence (East or West, represented as Asia or North America and Europe) and BMI category (normal weight, overweight or obese). All of these categories are defined formally in the Supplementary Information ('Data coverage & demographics'). We implement a model with a three-way interaction term between home (true or false), every demographic variable and median duration centred on the mean (the model is defined formally in 'Controlling for demographic heterogeneity with mixed effects model' in the Supplementary Information).

Our mixed-effects model confirms the large difference between the rate of decrease for Δ at home and this rate away from home. The decline is 0.4 ± 0.008 hours (24 ± 0.5 minutes) larger when travelling than at home (for an hour increment in typical sleep duration) on weekdays and 0.35 ± 0.01 hours (20 ± 1 minutes) larger on weekends (Supplementary Tables 12 and 13, fixed effect dur_C:homeFalse).

The region of residence is the most influential covariate in terms of level of significance and effect size on both weekends and weekdays. The difference between East and West is smaller in terms of

NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR

ARTICLES



Fig. 3 | **Change in sleep onset and offset on travel nights. a,f**, The distributions of median sleep duration on weekdays (black line in **a**) and weekends (grey line in **f**) with the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles marked with dashed lines (black (**a**) and grey (**f**) on weekdays and weekends, respectively). **b**,**g**, The change in sleep onset and offset relative to typical home sleep (the blue lines are $\Delta_{onsettravel}$, and the yellow lines are $\Delta_{offset,travel}$, darker colours represent weekdays, and lighter colours represent weekends) aggregated into averages (with s.e.m.) by user groups defined by the percentiles of sleep duration illustrated in **a** and **f**. **c**,**h**, The distributions of $\Delta_{onset,travel}$ (blue lines, darker for weekdays and lighter for weekends) and $\Delta_{offset,travel}$ (yellow lines, darker for weekdays and lighter for weekends) and $\Delta_{offset,travel}$ (yellow lines, darker for weekdays and lighter for weekends) in $\Delta_{onset,travel}$ (blue lines, darker for weekdays and lighter for weekends) and $\Delta_{offset,travel}$ (yellow lines, darker for weekends) in dividuals with the lowest sleep duration on weekdays (**b**) (90-100th percentile). **e**,**j**, The distributions of $\Delta_{onset,travel}$ (blue lines, darker for weekends) (**j**) (90-100th percentile). The sample sizes are *N* = 19,812 for weekdays and *N* = 13,515 for weekends.

the rate of decrease of Δ_{travel} (0.015-hour more negative decline for eastern residence on weekends but none on weekdays) but much greater when considering the intercept, which is 0.59 ± 0.008 hours $(35 \pm 1 \text{ minutes})$ higher for individuals in the West on weekdays and 0.46 ± 0.01 hours (28 ± 1 minutes) higher on weekends (see the fixed effects dur_C:east_westeast and homeFalse:east_westeast in Supplementary Tables 12 and 13). Gender has a significant effect on Δ_{travel} on weekdays, where women tend to gain more sleep during travel nights than men (the intercept is 0.12 ± 0.08 hours higher for women; see the fixed effect homeFalse:genderFEMALE in Supplementary Table 12). Furthermore, individuals in the obese BMI category lose more sleep during travel (on average 0.14 ± 0.01 and 0.12 ± 0.02 hours on weekday and weekend nights, respectively; see the fixed effect homeFalse:bmi_cat3 in Supplementary Table 12) than those in the other weight groups. To provide an overview of these results, we list the model estimates of Δ_s where $s \in \{\text{home, travel}\}\$ for different median sleep durations (4.5, 7.0 and 9.5 hours) and by the most important covariates in Table 1 (also visualized in Supplementary Figs. 13 and 14).

Mixed-effects model including time zones, distance and direction. One possible hypothesis is that our results may be impacted by time zone changes, distance travelled and/or direction of the journey (eastward or westward travel). To investigate this question, we again employ a mixed-effects model, but now including only travel nights. We define new covariates for time zone changes (absolute difference relative to home 0, >0 to 1, >1 to 3, >3 to 6 and >6 hours) and the direction of the journey (eastward or westward) and include a continuous variable for distance travelled. In Supplementary Fig. 5, we illustrate the distribution of distance travelled and find that it is approximately log-normal. Furthermore, we support our choice of boundaries for time zone changes in the Supplementary Information ('The effect of time zone changes, direction of travel and distance'). We note that 81% the nights are less than 1,000 km away from home, 85% of trips do not include a time zone change and only ~7% include a time zone change of more than one hour.

The mixed-effects model uses the following covariates: median sleep duration and log(distance) (in km) centred on the population average, time zone changes, direction of the journey and the demographic variables used before. The model is defined with two-way interaction terms between (1) all demographic covariates and median sleep duration, (2) time zone changes and direction of travel, and (3) log(distance) and median sleep duration. The model **Table 1** | Estimates of Δ_s from mixed-effects models for different sleep groups (individuals are grouped together by rounding individual median sleep duration to the nearest half-hour bin) for the most important demographic groups (in terms of significance and effect size from the model results)

Median sleep duration (hours)	4.5	7.0	9.5	4.5	7.0	9.5
		Weekday travel			Weekday home	
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for men in the West (hours)	1.64 <u>+</u> 0.017	0.452±0.010	-0.741±0.038	0.167 <u>+</u> 0.076	-0.015 ± 0.00070	-0.198 ± 0.009
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for men in the East (hours)	1.07 ± 0.013	-0.159 ± 0.022	-1.39 ± 0.057	0.181 ± 0.012	-0.039 ± 0.0041	-0.259 ± 0.020
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for women in the West (hours)	1.81±0.029	0.564±0.020	-0.685 ± 0.07	0.167 <u>+</u> 0.076	-0.015 ± 0.00070	-0.198 ± 0.009
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for women in the East (hours)	1.24 ± 0.025	-0.0469 ± 0.032	-1.33 ± 0.089	0.181±0.012	-0.039 ± 0.0041	-0.259 ± 0.020
		Weekend travel			Weekend home	
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for men in the West (hours)	1.25 ± 0.052	0.158 ± 0.041	-0.937±0.044	0.216±0.0095	-0.00276 ± 0.0025	-0.222 ± 0.015
$arDelta_{ m s}$ for men in the East (hours)	1.02 <u>+</u> 0.079	-0.266 ± 0.0037	-1.55 ± 0.087	0.216±0.0095	-0.00276 ± 0.0025	-0.222 ± 0.015

is defined explicitly in the section 'The effect of time zone changes, direction of travel and distance' in the Supplementary Information.

Small effect of time zones and distance travelled. At first we notice that when controlling for distance travelled, time zone changes, direction of the journey and demographic heterogeneity, the relative change in sleep due to travel is still highly dependent on typical home sleep. The rate of decrease of Δ_{travel} is ~0.5±0.02 hours for an hour increment of home sleep (Supplementary Tables 15 and 16, fixed effect dur_C). That is approximately the same effect measured in the model that also included home nights (from now on referred to as model A) and can be obtained by adding the fixed effects dur_C and dur_C:homeFalse in Supplementary Tables 12 and 13. The effects of the demographic covariates are also measured similarly in terms of significance and effect sizes as in model A.

Distance is a significant effect for both weekday and weekend travel nights: a 1% increase in distance on weekdays results in a 0.17-hour increase in Δ_{travel} on weekdays and a 0.039-hour increase on weekends (Supplementary Tables 15 and 16, fixed effect log_distance_c). Time zone changes also influence Δ_{travel} . Individuals are likelier to lose sleep if there are time zone changes during their trips, and the sleep loss is larger as time zone changes increase in magnitude. For example, the average decrease is 0.14, 0.17, 0.28 and 0.43 hours for time zone changes of 0 to 1, >1 to 3, >3 to 6 and >6 hours, respectively (Supplementary Table 15, fixed effects tz_diff_cat1, tz_diff_cat>1-3, tz_diff_cat>3-6 and tz_diff_cat>6). Furthermore, our modelling suggests that people are likelier to lose sleep during eastward travel than westward, an effect that is higher on weekdays than on weekends.

In interpreting these results, the reader should note that our data are more sparse in the case of time zone changes, as only ~7% of travel nights, or roughly 15,000, include a time zone change of more than one hour. For this reason, and to ensure that aggregating time zone changes into categories for the covariate in the model does not influence the results, we also explore time zones without aggregation; this does not change our findings ('Model B1: time zone changes as covariate without aggregation' in the Supplementary Information).

Results are robust when varying the number of travel nights. An important parameter in our analysis is how many travel nights an individual must have to be included in our dataset. Here we explore whether our results depend on the minimum number of travel days. We examine the estimates of fixed effects while the inclusion criterion changes, ranging from a minimum of 2 to 12 travel days per individual. For this purpose, we use a simplified version of the model defined in the section 'Robustness in terms of varying number of minimum travel days per individual' in the Supplementary Information. This analysis shows that our estimates of fixed effects

persist but in some instances become slightly smaller in magnitude. In some cases, the estimated effects fall just outside the range of the standard error of the mean for the full dataset (Supplementary Tables 19 and 20). However, the differences with respect to the full dataset are small, and overall we confirm our findings. For example, the difference between the slope for home nights and that for travel nights is -0.397 to -0.389 (estimate \pm s.e.m.) for the full dataset but -0.379 to -0.366 with a minimum of 12 travel days (for weekdays). This difference is larger on weekends: -0.387 to -0.374 for 2 travel days (full dataset) but -0.342 to -0.317 for 12 travel days. The larger deviation for users with a higher threshold of minimum number of travel days on weekends might be due to fewer data points (there are five weekdays versus two weekend days per week) and more variability of sleep on weekends, which could be exacerbating the difference⁵². Overall, the same results are found when the number of travel days required per individual is increased, with some indications of a slight change in magnitude.

Discussion

Drawing on a dataset of 3.17 million nights with a subset of ~218,000 travel nights (where ~81% of the trips are <1,000 km and 85% have no time zone crosses) for approximately 20,000 individuals, we observe a systematic change in sleep duration and timing (onset and offset) on travel nights, relative to typical at-home behaviour. The change in sleep duration due to travel depends linearly on the typical sleep quantity at home and decreases as median sleep duration increases—a pattern identified for both weekdays and weekends. Our main finding is that sleep during travel tends to have a balancing effect. Underslept individuals tend to sleep more when travelling than at home, while individuals whose overall nighttime sleep is long tend to sleep less when away from home. The change in sleep onset and offset on travel nights supports the observed changes in sleep duration. Wake-up time is on average advanced to later hours on weekdays compared with typical nights at home, but to earlier hours on weekends. The change in sleep onset on travel nights is linearly dependent on typical sleep duration at home and is advanced to later hours as median sleep duration increases. Distance has a larger effect on travel sleep on weekdays than on weekends, and individuals are likelier to lose sleep if there are time zone changes when they are travelling; this loss grows larger as time zone changes increase in magnitude.

The observed dependence of the change in sleep duration on travel nights on typical sleep duration at home is found on both weekdays and weekends, but individuals are slightly more inclined to gain sleep on weekdays than on weekends. This latter finding is probably associated with constraints due to social demands (work, school and so on) and is further supported by the fact that individuals who have high social jet lag are disproportionately affected

NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR

by travel^{25,26}. Our results show that, on average, wake-up time is shifted to later hours during travel nights on weekdays but to earlier hours on weekends, while the change in bedtime on travel nights is linearly dependent on median sleep duration at home. This highlights the fact that wake-up time is a more controllable factor, since individuals can set an alarm to wake up at a specific hour but cannot necessarily fall asleep at a predefined time. A previous study indicated related results, where individuals seemed to catch longer nighttime sleep on weekends by shifting their bedtime marginally more than their wake-up time⁵².

We observe different effects of travel on sleep depending on demographic variables, where the most significant and influential factor is region of residence—a variable that identifies whether an individual lives in the East (Asia) or in the West (North America and Europe). Those residing in the East are more inclined to lose sleep when travelling, whereas those in the West tend to gain sleep. The differences between eastern and western residence are lower on weekends; this observation might be due to the fact that work schedules can be stricter in Asian countries and possibly regulate sleep behaviour more strongly there⁵³. We also speculate that the difference may be related to the baseline for at-home behaviour. Individuals in the East sleep less on average than those in the West—6.4 versus 7.1 hours on weekdays and 6.9 versus 7.8 hours on weekends—a pattern also identified in other studies^{48–51}.

The overall dependence of Δ_{travel} on typical sleep duration remains when controlling for time zone changes, distance travelled and direction of the journey (eastward or westward travel). Distance and time zone changes have an effect on travel sleep, where individuals tend to gain sleep with increasing travel distance, but lose sleep if there are time zone changes during their trips, an effect that grows larger as time zone changes increase in magnitude. Furthermore, we find that people tend to lose more sleep during eastward than westward travel, which is consistent with previous work^{22,43}.

We now discuss the limitations of our analyses. First, there are relatively few nights recorded away from home (~7% out of 3.18 million nights). We require individuals to have at least two travel nights to be included in our sample, but that sampling rate might not reflect the full range of behaviour for an individual. To mitigate this limitation, we analyse the effect of travel with panel data analysis using hierarchical linear modelling, which uses all data points simultaneously to examine the effect of covariates while controlling for individual baseline behaviour and characteristics. We also perform down-sampling for nights recorded at home (to be equal to the number of travel days), which demonstrates that the large distinction between the distribution of Δ at home and the distribution away from home persists with the same sampling rate. Most importantly, our results remain the same when changing the inclusion criterion from 2 to 12 travel days while comparing estimates of fixed effects. Second, approximately 81% of travel nights occur less than 1,000 km away from home, and 85% of trips include no time zone changes. Our sample is thus biased towards relatively short-distance travel and unlikely to provide a full picture of the effects of jet lag. Third, we do not control for national holidays in our analysis, since it was not possible to find reliable global data regarding these days. To gain some understanding of the role of holidays, we considered travel in the days between Christmas and New Year's (26-30 December) for the countries that celebrate these holidays (residence in the West) and found the same pattern as in the main results ('Travel during official holidays' in the Supplementary Information). Fourth, our sample of individuals may not be representative of the wider population due to potential unobserved factors also associated with wearable device ownership⁵⁴. Fifth, we note that the wristbands have not been publicly validated using the gold standard of polysomnography as recommended in the Sleep Research Society Workshop on wearable devices for the measurement of sleep⁵⁵. However, we find that our dataset converges with country-level sleep measures from

separate large-scale datasets, demonstrates consistency over the period of observation and replicates age-related sleep trends from previously published self-report studies, including changes in sleep duration and timing⁵². The devices have also been internally validated by the manufacturer.

Due to the nature of the data collection, we cannot know whether individuals are travelling to entirely new destinations (as they may have visited any location outside the data collection window), and we do not know whether trips are for the purpose of business or leisure. These limitations may impact our understanding of the first-night effect, since participants' familiarity with each destination is uncertain, and more generally since holidays often imply different sleep schedules from workdays^{16,17}. We hope that these unknowns can be considered in future studies.

The effect of travel on sleep behaviour has not been studied for a cohort of this size before, and most of the research has aimed to understand the effect of travel on sleep to optimize athletic performance or to apprehend fatigue among aircrews.^{18,31-38,56}. Interestingly, one of these studies identified a related pattern—travel was negatively correlated with sleep duration on weekdays among kite surfers (N=94)⁵⁶. Generally, travel is believed to have deleterious effects on sleep, but our study reveals that travel seems to have a more complex impact on the sleep of travellers, with a high likelihood of respite for underslept individuals, while the deleterious effects are reserved for those who tend to be well rested^{18–24}.

Methods

Data collection. The dataset was collected from 2015 to 2019 via Sony SmartBands (SmartBand Talk (SWR30) and SmartBand 2 (SWR12)) designed to track physical activity and sleep behaviour. When first connecting the wristband to their smartphone, individuals receive visual instructions on how and where (wrist) to place the device, and they are advised to wear it on their dominant side. Users provided informed consent for their data to be processed. All data processing was carried out in accordance with the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation 2016/679 and the regulations set out by the Danish Data Protection Agency. The General Data Protection Regulation describes regulations for data protection and privacy in the European Union and the European Economic Area; it also addresses the transfer of personal data outside the European Union and European Economic Area. The wristbands use proprietary, internally validated algorithms based on movement registered by an internal accelerometer to estimate sleeping and waking states in one-minute intervals. The one-minute sleep states are used to infer sleep onset, offset and duration for each night. Nighttime awakening or sleep fragmentation is also accounted for and quantified as wake after sleep onset. The measurements produced by the wristbands exhibit a high degree of face validity and converge with estimates of age-related changes from the literature ('Comparison of country-level statistics to external large-scale data sets' in the Supplementary Information)52. By using these wristbands, we follow a growing trend of utilizing commercial devices in sleep research to study sleep behaviour in naturalistic settings at large scales52,57-

The individuals are anonymous and self-report their age, gender, height and weight. The location data originate from GPS traces; these are not collected at a fixed sampling rate, but estimates are updated when there is a change in the motion state of the device (if the accelerometer registers a change).

Data preprocessing. We removed outliers to reduce the risk of including sleep observations from those suffering from insomnia, artificially shortened night observations due to individuals ceasing wristband use in the middle of the resting period, observations from night-shift workers or any other possible data errors. The details of this process are described step-by-step in the Supplementary Information ('Data pre-processing').

We transformed the raw location data to stop locations using the infostop algorithm⁶³, converting traces to stops, each with an ID, start time and end time. We discarded sleep observations without associated stop locations. We defined a person's sleep location as the stop location with a start time closest to the sleep onset. To ensure consistency, we only accepted locations where sleep begins and an individual does not leave the location until after the sleep has ended. We expected people to sleep at home for the majority of the time and therefore used sleep location to infer home location. We removed individuals from the dataset if their percentage of nights at home was lower than 70%. We used this threshold to ensure that we selected individuals with a fixed home location and retained approximately 80% of the individuals by applying this selection criterion. Henceforth, we refer to nights that take place at least 20 km away from home as travel nights.

We used the median sleep duration to quantify the typical sleep duration (for nights recorded at home). For the median to be representative of an individual's typical behaviour, we required all participants to have a minimum of ten nights recorded at home; in this, we treated weekends and weekdays separately. In the Supplementary Information ('Filtering & inclusion criteria'), we provide evidence that ten nights is a reasonable threshold.

As we wish to understand the quantitative effect of travel on sleep duration and timing, we also required individuals to have a minimum number of nights recorded away from home. We set this minimum to two travel days (by day type; weekdays and weekends). Again, we justified this choice using robustness checks ('Results') and down-sampling home nights, as shown in the Supplementary Information ('Down-sampling nights at home'). Note that we separated the analyses by day type (weekend versus weekday), and individuals may be included in the analysis for a single day type or both.

After the preprocessing, the final dataset used for the analyses consisted of 2.4 million weekday nights (6.0% travel nights) from 19,812 individuals and 0.77 million weekend nights (9.4% travel nights) from 13,515 individuals. There are 10,823 individuals included in both the weekend and weekday analyses. About 81% of the trips are <1,000 km away from home, and ~85% of the travel nights have no time zone changes. An in-depth exploration of how individuals are distributed by demographics and data coverage is presented in the Supplementary Information ('Data coverage & demographics').

Data modelling. To support our main findings, we employed a mixed-effects model—a panel data analysis with a hierarchical linear model where the relationship between the change in sleep duration away from home (relative to regular behaviour) and typical sleep duration at home is explored⁶⁴.

The mixed-effects model enabled us to retain the hierarchical structure of the data—repeated measurements within an individual. Initially, Δ was estimated as a single measurement per individual ($\Delta = \mu - M_{\text{home}}$, where μ is the average sleep duration on travel nights and M_{home} is the median sleep duration at home, both estimated separately for weekday and weekend nights), but in the mixed-effects models, we estimated it for every recorded night for each individual, defined as

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \operatorname{duration}_{i,j} - M_j, \tag{1}$$

where i = 1, ..., N and j = 1, ..., K, where N is the total number of nights for individual *j*, K is the total number of individuals and M_j is the median sleep duration (either on weekdays or on weekends) for individual *j*. We note that the dependent variable (**y**) in the mixed-effects model is $\Delta_{i,j}$, and the model is specified in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{y} = X \, \mathbf{\beta} + Z \, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{\epsilon}$$
, with $\mathbf{u} \sim N_q(0, G)$ and $\mathbf{\epsilon} \sim N_n(0, R)$, (2)

where β represents the fixed-effects parameters, **u** represents the random effects, *X* is the $n \times p$ design matrix for the fixed-effects parameters and *Z* is the $n \times q$ design matrix describing the random effects. The term ε is a vector representing the measurements errors, *R* is the covariance matrix for the ε and *G* the covariance matrix for the random effects (**u**). The dependent variable is $\Delta_{i,p}$ and the fixed-effects parameters are the demographic variables (gender, generation, BMI category and region of residence) and home. The independent variable is median sleep duration (continuous), and we control for individual baseline behaviour since each individual has a random effect (intercept).

We analysed the dataset using R version 3.5.1 and the lmerTest package. We used the lmer function to fit the dataset and applied Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom method to estimate the *P* values for the significance of the fixed effects⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷. The model was reduced by removing insignificant fixed effects (one at a time) with the drop1 function, which uses *F*-tests (one-sided) for its estimates. We centred the median sleep duration around its sample mean to help improve interpretability and prevent multicollinearity.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The raw data are not publicly available to preserve individuals' privacy (according to the privacy policy for the wearable devices). Aggregated and anonymized data supporting the key findings in the paper are available from Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17207231); researchers interested in single-night data resolution may contact the corresponding authors regarding full data access.

Code availability

The code used to generate the results of this paper is available for download on GitHub (https://github.com/siggasvala/Travel-and-sleep).

Received: 12 January 2021; Accepted: 6 January 2022; Published online: 24 February 2022

References

- Irwin, M. R. Why sleep is important for health: a psychoneuroimmunology perspective. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 66, 143–172 (2015).
- Cappuccio, F. P., D'Elia, L., Strazzullo, P. & Miller, M. A. Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep* 33, 585–592 (2010).
- Grandner, M. A. et al. Social and behavioral determinants of perceived insufficient sleep. Front. Neurol. 6, 112 (2015).
- Luyster, F. S., Strollo, P. J., Zee, P. C. & Walsh, J. K. Sleep: a health imperative. Sleep 35, 727–734 (2012).
- 5. Cappuccio, F. P. et al. Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. *Sleep* **31**, 619–626 (2008).
- King, C. R. et al. Short sleep duration and incident coronary artery calcification. *JAMA* 300, 2859–2866 (2008).
- Cappuccio, F. P., Cooper, D., D'Elia, L., Strazzullo, P. & Miller, M. A. Sleep duration predicts cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur. Heart J.* 32, 1484–1492 (2011).
- Pilcher, J. J. & Huffcutt, A. I. Effects of sleep deprivation on performance: a meta-analysis. *Sleep* 19, 318–326 (1996).
- 9. Goldstein, A. N. & Walker, M. P. The role of sleep in emotional brain function. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* **10**, 679–708 (2014).
- Bernert, R. A., Kim, J. S., Iwata, N. G. & Perlis, M. L. Sleep disturbances as an evidence-based suicide risk factor. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* 17, 15–24 (2015).
- Ford, E. S., Cunningham, T. J. & Croft, J. B. Trends in self-reported sleep duration among US adults from 1985 to 2012. Sleep 38, 829–832 (2015).
- 12. Walker, M. P. A societal sleep prescription. *Neuron* **103**, 559–562 (2019).
- 13. Czeisler, C. A. Perspective: casting light on sleep deficiency. *Nature* **497**, S13 (2013).
- Air Transport, Passengers Carried (World Bank, 2018); https://data.worldbank. org/indicator/IS.AIR.PSGR
- Agnew, H. W., Webb, W. B. & Williams, R. L. The first night effect: an EEG study of sleep. *Psychophysiology* 2, 263–266 (1966).
- Tamaki, M., Nittono, H., Hayashi, M. & Hori, T. Examination of the first-night effect during the sleep-onset period. *Sleep* 28, 195–202 (2005).
- Tamaki, M., Bang, J. W., Watanabe, T. & Sasaki, Y. Night watch in one brain hemisphere during sleep associated with the first-night effect in humans. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1190–1194 (2016).
- 18. Waterhouse, J., Reilly, T. & Edwards, B. The stress of travel. J. Sports Sci. 22, 946–966 (2004).
- Reilly, T., Waterhouse, J. & Edwards, B. Jet lag and air travel: implications for performance. *Clin. Sports Med.* 24, 367–380 (2005).
- Reilly, T., Waterhouse, J. & Edwards, B. Some chronobiological and physiological problems associated with long-distance journeys. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* 7, 88–101 (2009).
- Lastella, M., Roach, G. D. & Sargent, C. Travel fatigue and sleep/wake behaviors of professional soccer players during international competition. *Sleep Health* 5, 141–147 (2019).
- Waterhouse, J., Reilly, T., Atkinson, G. & Edwards, B. Jet lag: trends and coping strategies. *Lancet* 369, 1117–1129 (2007).
- Srinivasan, V., Spence, D. W., Pandi-Perumal, S. R., Trakht, I. & Cardinali, D. P. Jet lag: therapeutic use of melatonin and possible application of melatonin analogs. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* 6, 17–28 (2008).
- Morgenthaler, T. I. et al. Practice parameters for the clinical evaluation and treatment of circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Sleep* 30, 1445–1459 (2007).
- Wittmann, M., Dinich, J., Merrow, M. & Roenneberg, T. Social jetlag: misalignment of biological and social time. *Chronobiol. Int.* 23, 497–509 (2006).
- Roenneberg, T., Allebrandt, K. V., Merrow, M. & Vetter, C. Social jetlag and obesity. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 939–943 (2012).
- Ishibashi, Y. & Shimura, A. Association between work productivity and sleep health: a cross-sectional study in Japan. Sleep Health 6, 270–276 (2020).
- Åkerstedt, T. et al. Sleep disturbances, work stress and work hours. J. Psychosom. Res. 53, 741–748 (2002).
- Basner, M. et al. American time use survey: sleep time and its relationship to waking activities. *Sleep* 30, 1085–1095 (2007).
- K. Pavlova, M. & Latreille, V. Sleep disorders. Am. J. Med. 132, 292–299 (2019).
- Nédélec, M., Halson, S., Abaidia, A.-E., Ahmaidi, S. & Dupont, G. Stress, sleep and recovery in elite soccer: a critical review of the literature. *Sports Med.* 45, 1387–1400 (2015).
- Fowler, P., Duffield, R. & Vaile, J. Effects of domestic air travel on technical and tactical performance and recovery in soccer. *Int. J. Sports Physiol.* 9, 378–386 (2014).
- 33. Forbes-Robertson, S. et al. Circadian disruption and remedial interventions. *Sports Med.* **42**, 185–208 (2012).
- 34. Reilly, T. et al. Coping with jet-lag: a position statement for the European College of Sport Science. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* **7**, 1–7 (2007).
- Fowler, P. M. et al. Greater effect of east versus west travel on jet lag, sleep, and team sport performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 49, 2548–2561 (2017).

NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR

- Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., Carbon, P., Gounelle, C., Mollard, R. & Coblentz, A. Perceived fatigue for short- and long-haul flights: a survey of 739 airline pilots. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 74, 1072–1077 (2003).
- Sallinen, M. et al. Sleep, alertness and alertness management among commercial airline pilots on short-haul and long-haul flights. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 98, 320–329 (2017).
- Reis, C., Mestre, C., Canhão, H., Gradwell, D. & Paiva, T. Sleep complaints and fatigue of airline pilots. *Sleep Sci.* 9, 73–77 (2016).
- Fowler, P., Duffield, R. & Vaile, J. Effects of simulated domestic and international air travel on sleep, performance, and recovery for team sports. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 25, 441–451 (2014).
- 40. Richmond, L. K. et al. The effect of interstate travel on the sleep patterns and performance of elite Australian rules footballers. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* **10**, 252–258 (2007).
- McGuckin, T. A., Sinclair, W. H., Sealey, R. M. & Bowman, P. The effects of air travel on performance measures of elite Australian rugby league players. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 14, S116–S122 (2012).
- 42. Arendt, J. Managing jet lag: some of the problems and possible new solutions. Sleep Med. Rev. 13, 249-256 (2009).
- 43. Reilly, T., Atkinson, G. & Waterhouse, J. Travel fatigue and jet-lag. *J. Sports Sci.* **15**, 365–369 (1997).
- 44. Borbély, A. A. A two process model of sleep regulation. *Hum. Neurobiol.* 1, 195–204 (1982).
- Daan, S., Beersma, D. G. & Borbely, A. A. Timing of human sleep: recovery process gated by a circadian pacemaker. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 246, R161–R183 (1984).
- Roenneberg, T. et al. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. Sleep Med. Rev. 11, 429–438 (2007).
- Luca, G. et al. Age and gender variations of sleep in subjects without sleep disorders. Ann. Med. 47, 482–491 (2015).
- Kuula, L. et al. Using big data to explore worldwide trends in objective sleep in the transition to adulthood. *Sleep Med.* 62, 69–76 (2019).
- Ong, J. L., Tandi, J., Patanaik, A., Lo, J. C. & Chee, M. W. L. Large-scale data from wearables reveal regional disparities in sleep patterns that persist across age and sex. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 3415 (2019).
- Walch, O. J., Cochran, A. & Forger, D. B. A global quantification of "normal" sleep schedules using smartphone data. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1501705 (2016).
- Lo, J. C., Leong, R. L. F., Loh, K.-K., Dijk, D.-J. & Chee, M. W. L. Young adults' sleep duration on work days: differences between east and west. *Front. Neurol.* 5, 81 (2014).
- Jonasdottir, S. S., Minor, K. & Lehmann, S. Gender differences in nighttime sleep patterns and variability across the adult lifespan: a global-scale wearables study. *Sleep* https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsaa169 (2020).
- Hours Worked (Indicator) (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2021); https://doi.org/10.1787/a452d2eb-en
- Macridis, S., Johnston, N., Johnson, S. & Vallance, J. K. Consumer physical activity tracking device ownership and use among a population-based sample of adults. *PLoS ONE* 13, e0189298 (2018).
- 55. Depner, C. M. et al. Wearable technologies for developing sleep and circadian biomarkers: a summary of workshop discussions. *Sleep* **43**, zsz254 (2019).
- Silva, M.-R. G., Pascoal, A., Silva, H.-H. & Paiva, T. Assessing sleep, travelling habits and jet lag in kite surfers according to competition level. *Biol. Rhythm Res.* 47, 677–689 (2016).
- Girschik, J., Fritschi, L., Heyworth, J. & Waters, F. Validation of self-reported sleep against actigraphy. J. Epidemiol. 22, 462–468 (2012).

- 58. Ancoli-Israel, S. et al. The role of actigraphy in the study of sleep and circadian rhythms. *Sleep* 26, 342–392 (2003).
- Althoff, T., Horvitz, E., White, R. W. & Zeitzer, J. Harnessing the web for population-scale physiological sensing. In *Proc. 26th International Conference on World Wide Web* 113–122 (International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2017); https://doi.org/10.1145/3038912.3052637
- 60. Doherty, A. et al. Large scale population assessment of physical activity using wrist worn accelerometers: the UK Biobank study. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0169649 (2017).
- Winnebeck, E. C., Fischer, D., Leise, T. & Roenneberg, T. Dynamics and ultradian structure of human sleep in real life. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 49–59.e5 (2018).
- 62. Palotti, J. et al. Benchmark on a large cohort for sleep-wake classification with machine learning techniques. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **2**, 50 (2019).
- Aslak, U. & Alessandretti, L. Infostop: scalable stop-location detection in multi-user mobility data. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14370 (2020).
- 64. Faraway, J. J. *Extending the Linear Model with R* (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2016); https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315382722
- 65. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2018); https://www.R-project.org/
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B. & Christensen, R. H. B. Imertest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. *J. Stat. Soft.* https://doi.org/10.18637/ jss.v082.i13 (2017).
- Luke, S. G. Evaluating significance in linear mixed-effects models in R. Behav. Res. 49, 1494–1502 (2016).

Acknowledgements

S.L. thanks the DISTRACT project (European Research Council) and the Nation-Scale Social Networks Project (Villum Foundation) for support of this work. J.B. acknowledges support from Google Open Source under the Open-Source Complex Ecosystems and Networks (OCEAN) project. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

S.S.J., S.L. and J.B. designed the research. S.S.J. preprocessed the data, performed the data analysis and created the figures. S.S.J., S.L. and J.B. analysed the results and wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01291-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Sune Lehmann. **Peer review information** *Nature Human Behaviour* thanks Shahab Haghayegh, Eva Winnebeck and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2022



nature research

Corresponding author(s): Sune Lehmann

Last updated by author(s): Dec 16, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
		The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\square	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\square	A description of all covariates tested
		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information a	about <u>availability of computer code</u>	
Data collection	The data was collected with wearable devices; SmartBand (SmartBand Talk [SWR30] and SmartBand 2 [SWR12]).	
Data analysis	Pyspark 2.3.0 Python 3.6.3 R 3.5.1	

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Raw data are not publicly available to preserve users' privacy (stated in the Privacy Policy of the wearable devices). Aggregated and anonymized data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request. Figure 1A contains raw data-points from the data-set.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

es Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	The study uses hierarchical, cross-sectional, quantitative and observational data, where 1-level mixed effects models were implemented for analysis. The data-set was collected via wristbands designed to track physical activity and sleep behavior.
Research sample	The sampled population is large scale (approximately 19000 users) and global (from 95 distinct countries) with wearable device owners. There are certain geographic regions more dominant than others, but we do control for that in our analysis. Users range from age 19-69 and 1/3 are women. The data-set offers unique methodological advantages; scale, longitudinal coverage, and ecologically valid observations. However, it is not strategically sampled and wearable device users may not be representative of the wider population due to potential unobserved factors associated with wearable device ownership.
Sampling strategy	The data is observational therefore no sampling strategy applied. However it provides an outlook on a large cross-section of the entire population, considering number of users, number of countries they reside in, as well individuals are at all stage of adult life and both genders.
Data collection	The data was collected with wearable devices; SmartBand (SmartBand Talk [SWR30] and SmartBand 2 [SWR12]. SONY has asked not to be named in the papers, and we are asked not to disclose device models of the wearable devices.
Timing	October 2015 - May 2019.
Data exclusions	There are approximately 15 million nights in the full data-set without any inclusions criteria. A large part of the data excluded to explore the effect of travel on sleep, but that is due to the fact that many users do not have nights recorded away from home. The data exclusion process is described concisely in the SI.
Non-participation	0
Randomization	Users are allocated into groups by quantitative measures such how long they typically sleep. They are also grouped by demographic variables such as country of residence, age and gender.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Involved in the study n/a \boxtimes Antibodies Eukaryotic cell lines \mathbf{X} \boxtimes Palaeontology and archaeology \boxtimes Animals and other organisms \boxtimes Human research participants \boxtimes Clinical data Dual use research of concern \mathbb{N}

Methods

- n/a Involved in the study ChIP-seq
- Flow cytometry
- MRI-based neuroimaging